UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,081	12/06/2004	Stephane Anres	33900-167PUS	8567
	7590 06/27/200 ΓΑΝΙ, LIEBERMAN &		EXAM	INER
551 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 1210			SINGH, SUNIL	
NEW YORK, NY 10176			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3672	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/27/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/517,081	ANRES ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sunil Singh	3672	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC atute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this com ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	This action is non-final. wance except for formal ma	· •	nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 25-36</u> is/are pending in t 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-11,27 and 28</u> is 5) ☐ Claim(s) <u>25,26,29,30 and 36</u> is/are allowed 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-4,5,6-8,12-15,31-35</u> is/are rejec 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	a/are withdrawn from consider l. ted.	eration.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document	nents have been received. The sents have been received in the priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National St	tage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 10/517,081 Page 2

Art Unit: 3672

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 1-4,5-8,12-15,31-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claims 1-4,5-8,12-15,31-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The above mentioned claims are ambiguously constructed and indeterminate in scope because the purport to claim both a product/apparatus and method of using or practicing the product/apparatus in a single claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

5. Claims 1-4, 5,6-8,12-15, ,31,32,33,34,35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because they improperly embrace both product or machine and process. The language of 35 USC 101 sets forth statutory classes of invention in alternative only. See Ex parte Lyell, 17 USPQ2d 1549.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,081 Page 3

Art Unit: 3672

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gonzalez (US 5184686).

Gonzalez discloses a drilling installation comprising a guide device comprising preassembled (this is the case since the guide device is assembled before production) coaxial telescopic (this is the case since 22b moves relative to 22a and 22c moves relative to 22a and 22b) guide elements (22a,b,c), a drilling riser (20), a drill string (28) with a drilling tool(38), outer guide element (22a), inner guide element (22c), breakup means (see Figs. 10-13, see Fig. 7, at the port where arrow 44 is pointing for better illustration of the breakup means). Breakup means comprises perforated capsule (see col. 4 lines 10-15).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claims 5,31,32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gonzalez.

Page 4

Gonzalez discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Gonzalez is silent about the lengths of the guide elements. The examiner takes official notice that having guide elements of varying lengths is old and well known. It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Gonzalez to have guide elements with the lengths called for in claims 5,31,32 since such choice would be a design choice. Depending on the particular depth one intends to drill, the particular lengths would then dictate.

10. Claims 6-8, 12-13, 33-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gonzalez in view of Hale et al. or Shiroyama et al. or Johnson et al. (US 3333432, 3824798, 4812079) or Japanese document (53-118791) or Wipo document (EP 0952301)

Gonzalez discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Gonzalez is silent about the guide device including a front end configured to rest horizontally on the sea bottom, a curved intermediate portion buried in the subsoil, a rear portion that is substantially linear and buried in the subsoil. Hale et al., Shiroyama et al., Johnson et al., Japanese document and Wipo document all disclose a guide device a front end resting substantially horizontally on the seabed (this is the case in as much applicant Figures 16-19 meet this limitation), a curved intermediate portion and a substantially linear rear portion (see Figures of the above mentioned references). It would have been

considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Gonzalez to include a guide device as taught by either Hale et al. or Shiroyama et al. or Johnson et al. or Japanese document or Wipo document (EP 0952301) since such a modification enhances lateral boring.

With regards to the "radius of curvature", "angle of inclination" and "guide pipe length" limitations, it would have been considered obvious to modify Gonzalez to include such limitations as called for in the above claims since such modifications are design choices. Depending on the particular depth, specific location etc. the above modifications would be appropriate.

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 25-26, 29-30,36 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments filed 3/17/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Gonzalez does not teach telescoping guide elements, instead they are simply slidable with respect to one another. It should be noted that the definition of telescoping is slide or pass one within another which is exactly what Gonzalez teaches. This is the case since 22b moves relative to (pass within) 22a and 22c moves relative (pass within) to 22a and 22b. Applicant argues that Gonzalez fails to teach "wherein said pre-assembled coaxial telescopic guide elements are pre-assembled together before said extension from said retracted position to said deployed

position thereby enabling the cementing of said guide pipe only after said guide pipe has been fully deployed". Applicant should note that claim 1 is directed to a product/apparatus having a riser, drill string with a tool, a guide device with at least outer, intermediate and inner guide elements. Gonzalez teaches such limitations. It appears that applicant is relying on the method of using/installing the product/apparatus. Please note claim 1 is directed to a product/apparatus and not a method of using/installing. Pre-assembled is defined as assembled beforehand. Therefore, Gonzalez teaches "pre-assembled" since the guide device is assembled before production.

Conclusion

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sunil Singh whose telephone number is (571) 272-7051. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 10:30 AM - 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on (571) 272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sunil Singh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3672 Sunil Singh Primary Examiner Art Unit 3672

SS

6/18/08